

Concentration of boron and other elements in human foods and personal-care products

Curtiss D. Hunt, PhD; Terrence R. Shuler;
LoAnne M. Mullen, RD

Abstract The element boron is ubiquitous in the environment. Comparatively low concentrations of dietary boron affect several aspects of mineral metabolism in animals and human beings. Therefore, it is appropriate to determine precisely the concentration of boron in human foodstuffs and absorbed, inhaled, or ingested nonfood substances. In this article, we report the analyzed concentrations of boron and other elements in selected foods (animal products, water, condiments, confections, fruits, tuberized roots, vegetables, cereal grains, and spices) and personal-care products (analgesics, antibiotics, decongestants, antihistamines, dental hygiene products, gastric antacids, and laxatives). We conclude that daily intake of boron usually differs considerably between any two individuals for three main reasons. First, concentration of boron in water varies considerably according to geographic source. At some locations, boron in drinking water and water-based beverages may account for most of the total dietary boron intake. Second, individual food preference greatly influences daily intake of boron. Fruits, vegetables, tubers, and legumes have relatively much higher concentrations of boron than do cereal grains or animal tissues and fluids. Third, boron was determined to be a notable contaminant or major ingredient of many personal-care products. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 1991; 91:558-568.

In view of recent findings that suggest that comparatively low concentrations of dietary boron affect several aspects of mineral metabolism in chicks (3 $\mu\text{g/g}$ diet) (1) and human beings (3 mg/day) (2,3), it seems appropriate to determine precisely the concentrations of boron in human foodstuffs and absorbed, inhaled, or ingested nonfood substances. The element boron is present everywhere in the environment and is biologically available to all forms of plant and animal life. In human beings and animals, boron is easily absorbed across gastrointestinal epithelia, mucous membranes (eg, mouth, eyes, vagina, and anus), and lacerated epidermis (4). Furthermore, analytic boron

values are necessary for the formulation of boron-deficient and boron-supplemented diets and may be important in the interpretation of certain epidemiologic data.

The analyses reported here supplement the meager data on boron content of foods produced in the United States. Reliance on analyzed values reported from remote locations (5-11) is not necessarily appropriate because concentration of boron in plants varies with soil type, length of exposure, rate of transpiration, and different agricultural practices (12). Analyzed values for calcium, chromium, copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, molybdenum, and zinc were included to update analytic values. Values for nonfood substances were included because of the general lack of data.

Methods

Test materials

Foodstuffs. Foods prepared for analysis were those used in weighed diets served to human research participants at the US Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Research Service, Grand Forks Human Nutrition Research Center. All food items, except meat products, were collected from three different lots obtained from local merchants.

Meats were purchased from the Animal Science Department at North Dakota State University, Fargo, which processed the meats by procuring large quantities of chicken breast and beef round, removing all inedible components, and grinding the individual meats into a homogeneous mass to ensure uniformity.

The original fruit juice (apple, cranberry-apple, cranberry, cranberry-raspberry, and grape) containers were glass. Peaches, pears, and pineapple were supplied in metal cans. Frozen juice concentrates were supplied in cardboard tubes with metal ends.

C. D. Hunt (corresponding author) and T. R. Shuler are with the US Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Research Service, Grand Forks Human Nutrition Research Center, Grand Forks, ND 58202. L. M. Mullen is from the University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND 58202.

The authors wish to thank Lane Cunningham; Dr Thomas Starks (analytical); Donna Neese, Bonita Hoverson, and Debbie Krause (nutrient database); Ondrea Hanson and Karen Muessig (tabulation); and Karen Grembowski and Loretta Vobr (secretarial) for their valuable assistance.

Mention of a trademark or proprietary product does not constitute a guarantee or warranty of the product by the US Department of Agriculture and does not imply its approval to the exclusion of other products that may also be suitable.

Inner green and white iceberg lettuce leaves were torn into small pieces using nonpowdered plastic gloves. Distilled, deionized water ($18M\Omega\text{ cm}^{-1}$) was used for all rinsing and preparatory procedures, including reconstitution of frozen beverages. Frozen fruits, vegetables, and meats were defrosted under refrigeration until completely thawed, then drained of excess moisture for a timed 15-minute interval. Likewise, canned foodstuffs were removed from the packing fluid, drained, and blotted on paper toweling that was determined to contribute negligible amounts of mineral contamination. Homogenized, frozen, whole eggs were thawed, then shaken in the original container before we removed an aliquot for analysis. Plastic disposable containers, used for temporary sample storage, were prewashed with distilled, deionized water. Contact with metal, when unavoidable, was limited to stainless steel surfaces.

A single 10- to 20-g sample was taken from each of three different lots of the same product (except for the meat products) and transferred directly to sealed plastic containers using the aforementioned preparatory procedures. On the same day, one to three samples from each of the plastic containers were weighed (usually between 1.5 and 2.0 g) to the nearest tenth of a milligram directly into Teflon tubes, which were capped tightly until the material was digested according to the described procedure.

Mineral concentration values for egg noodles, spaghetti, and mashed potatoes were analyzed and expressed as dry weight to be consistent with usual nutrient information for those products. Reported values of products that are hydrated with milk or water before use (eg, pudding and gelatin) we expressed in wet weight, but they were imputed from dry-weight analysis. Concentrated products (eg, orange juice and pineapple juice) were diluted according to manufacturer's instructions with double-distilled, deionized water (see above) before analysis.

Personal-care products. All personal-care products were transferred directly from the original packaging and weighed into Teflon tubes, which were capped tightly until the material was digested according to the following procedure.

Digestion procedure and mineral analysis. Because of the large number of samples, digestion of the materials was done in a series of batches with each batch comprising about 50 individual samples. Each sample was digested according to the method of Hunt and Shuler (13). Each sample was oxidized (121°C) by high-quality $16.1M\text{ HNO}_3$ and, subsequently, by a 1:3 solution of $16.1M\text{ HNO}_3$: $30\%\text{ H}_2\text{O}_2$ to near dryness in open tubes placed in a 150°C sand bath. All samples and blanks were then diluted 1:10 with $0.1N\text{ HCl}$ to bring mineral concentrations within analytic range and then stored in polypropylene tubes until analyzed. To bring other selected elements (calcium and magnesium) within analytic range, diluents were diluted further (1:10) to obtain a total dilution of 1:100.

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) citrus leaves 1572 standard (composed of ground citrus plant leaves) was used as a standard material. Analytic values for determined elements compared favorably with certified values, although values obtained for manganese and zinc were slightly below the certified

range and iron values were markedly lower than those certified by NIST. Other researchers (14) have reported similar iron values for this standard. No reliable reference materials are certified for boron concentration. All digestates were analyzed (13) by inductively coupled argon plasma (ICAP) emission spectroscopy.

Nutrient database reporting method. Analyzed values were compared with values generated by an in-house multisource nutrient database system subsequently referred to as the Grand Forks Research Analysis of Nutritional Data (GRAND) Computer System. The GRAND computer system uses a priority scheme to choose nutrient values for a working subset of the database. The priority scheme is chosen according to the subjective confidence in the source of information, including sampling methods, method of analysis, specificity of food descriptions, and general acceptance. The priority of publications cited in this article are listed in descending order from 15 to 20. Reference values not entered into the GRAND system are identified in Table 1 as "no published value" (NPV).

Results

Foods

The working detection limit for boron with the ICAP emission spectroscopy system was determined to be $0.015\text{ }\mu\text{g/g}$ or mL product. Foods selected for analysis contained from nondetectable amounts to $26.90\text{ }\mu\text{g}$ boron per g or mL product. Thus, for purposes of discussion, each analyzed food product was assigned to a single arbitrarily defined descriptive category and to a single arbitrarily defined boron concentration subcategory. The boron concentration subcategories were defined as follows (in μg boron per g): negligible (≤ 0.015), minimal (0.015 to 0.100), low (0.100 to 0.500), moderate (0.500 to 1.000), high 1.000 to 4.000, and very high (4.000 to 30.00).

Animal products. Negligible or minimal concentrations of boron (Table 1) were found in meat and dairy products. Ice cream, primarily an animal product with added plant matter, contained relatively more boron.

Cereal grain products. Some cereal products (Table 1) contained negligible (eg, cornstarch) or minimal (eg, rice) concentrations of boron; other cereal products (eg, wheat bread, cornflakes, wheat flour, wheat noodles, cookies) contained appreciably more boron.

Condiments. As complex foods, analyzed condiments (Table 1) contained negligible to high concentrations of boron. Beef bouillon, a mineral concentrate of muscle and bone, contained high amounts of boron. Catsup, a plant material concentrate, also contained high amounts of boron.

Confections. Negligible or minimal boron was found in sugar-based confections (Table 1) and in two sugar-based beverages. Jellies, arbitrarily classified as confections because of their content of refined sugar, differed greatly in boron content.

Fruits and fruit beverages. High concentrations of boron were found in most deciduous fruit-based beverages and products (Table 1). However, freeze-dried coffee, derived from a deciduous berry, contained a minimal concentration of boron. Oranges and lemons contained low and minimal or negligible concentrations of boron, respectively. Low concentrations of boron were found in

Footnotes for Table 1. Concentration of boron, calcium, copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, molybdenum, and zinc in various foods (wet-weight basis)¹ performed by ICAP² analysis after WALTTT³ digestion

¹Canned foodstuffs were removed from packing fluid and blotted on paper toweling before weighing.

²ICAP = inductively coupled argon plasma spectroscopy.

³WALTTT = wet-ash, low-temperature (<121°C) Teflon tube digestion procedure, using nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide as oxidizers.

⁴Products were assigned to arbitrary descriptive categories best describing their main characteristic.

⁵Number of samples digested and analyzed for mineral content per batch; number varies according to the integrity of individual samples. Each sample represented a different lot of the same product.

⁶Published analyzed mineral content of various foodstuffs along with literature reference (ref).

⁷Animal Science Department, North Dakota State University, Fargo, ND.

⁸Analyzed values below or equal to the working detection limit (WDL) calculated for a specific element are indicated by a ≤ followed by WDL.

⁹Mean ± standard deviation.

¹⁰Mean concentration of an element in a given food listed in a published report.

¹¹Number in parentheses is a literature reference.

¹²Dash (—) indicates that a given food was not analyzed for a specific element or there was insufficient statistical confidence to report the analyzed value.

¹³Kraft Inc, Glenview, Ill.

¹⁴Land O'Lakes, Inc, Arden Hills, Minn.

¹⁵NPV-No published value entered in GRAND computer program (see Methods).

¹⁶Bridgeman, Land O'Lakes, Arden Hills, Minn.

¹⁷Cass Clay, Fargo, ND.

¹⁸Interstate Brands Corp, Kansas City, Mo.

¹⁹Lorna Doone, Nabisco Brands, Inc, East Hanover, NJ.

²⁰Kellogg Co, Battle Creek, Mich.

²¹Argo, Best Foods, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

²²Occident, ConAgra Inc, Omaha, Neb.

²³Creamette, Borden, Inc, New York, NY.

²⁴Minute Rice, Kraft General Foods Inc, Glenview, Ill.

²⁵American Beauty, Hershey Foods Corp, Hershey, Pa.

²⁶Keebler Co, Elmhurst, Ill.

²⁷Wyler's, Borden, Inc, New York, NY.

²⁸HJ Heinz Co, Pittsburgh, Pa.

²⁹Tang, Kraft General Foods Inc, Glenview, Ill.

³⁰Jell-O, Kraft General Foods Inc, Glenview, Ill.

³¹All mineral concentrations are imputed from analysis of dry product.

³²Welch's, Concord, Mass.

³³MAND = Manufacturer's analyzed nutrient data.

³⁴Crystal, American Crystal Sugar Co, Moorhead, Minn.

³⁵Mott's, Mott's USA, Stamford, Conn.

³⁶Seneca Foods Corp, Pittsford, NY.

³⁷Wilderness, Comstock Michigan Fruit, Rochester, NY.

³⁸Silka, Nescafe, Nestle Foods Corp, Purchase, NY.

³⁹Cranraspberry, Ocean Spray Cranberries, Inc, Lakeville, Mass.

⁴⁰Minute Maid, Coca-Cola Foods, Houston, Tex.

⁴¹Featherweight, Sandoz Nutrition Corp, Minneapolis, Minn.

⁴²Schilling, McCormick & Co, Inc, Hunt Valley, Md.

⁴³All reference values for undrained products (solids and liquids).

⁴⁴Butter Kernel, Butter Kernel Products, Minneapolis, Minn.

⁴⁵French's, Durkee-French Foods, Inc, Wayne, NJ.

⁴⁶Green Giant, The Pillsbury Co, Minneapolis, Minn.

⁴⁷Dole, Dole Packaged Food Co, San Francisco, Calif.

pineapple. Vanilla extract, derived from the unripe fruit of an orchid, contained low amounts of boron.

Tuberized roots. The analyzed tubers (Table 1) generally contained low to moderate concentrations of boron. However, the apparent concentration is greatly increased by dehydration as indicated by onions and potatoes.

Dicotyledon plant seeds, pods, stalks, bark, and leaves. Dehydrated parsley leaves contained very high concentrations of boron; fresh lettuce leaves contained minimal amounts (Table 1). The high concentration of boron in the reproductive parts of the broccoli plant is noteworthy. Bean pods are moderate sources of boron; the dried ground bark of the cinnamon tree is apparently an excellent source of boron.

Water. A sample of local purified river water (Grand Forks, ND) contained negligible boron ($\leq 0.015 \mu\text{g/mL}$). Samples of water from two wells of different depths (40 and 140 feet) located 2 miles west of Calistoga, Calif, differed markedly in boron content. Water from the shallow well was derived mainly from runoff water and contained $0.04 \mu\text{g/mL}$. Water from the deep well contained concentrations of boron ($6.79 \mu\text{g/mL}$) toxic to grape plants able to thrive in local soils (Solomon Kagin, oral communication, August 9, 1988).

Personal-care products

Each analyzed personal-care product was also placed in an arbitrarily defined descriptive category and a boron concentration subcategory. Concentration of boron in personal-care products was typically within the same range as that of foods except for a few products. Thus, all personal-care products were placed in seven boron concentration subcategories; the first six are identical to those used to subcategorize foods and the seventh (exceptionally high) describes personal products containing 31 to $184 \mu\text{g}$ boron per g. Analyzed values for calcium, chromium, copper, iron, magnesium, manganese, molybdenum, and zinc are presented to increase the database of the elemental content of commonly used personal-care products.

Products containing long-chain alcohols usually did not digest completely; analytic values of boron in those products may be artificially low because it is probably incorrect to assume that boron was completely leached from the product.

Ingested products

Analgesics and antipyretics. Fever- and/or pain-reducing products (Table 2) are apparently negligible or low sources of boron. However, the concentrations of other elements differ widely among products and between different potencies of the same product. For example, the concentration of calcium differs widely between the maximum strength analgesic coated tablets and the extra strength analgesic we analyzed and also between the 400- and 800-mg samples of one brand of ibuprofen analyzed.

Antibiotics. Of the three antibiotic preparations (Table 2) analyzed, two contained high concentrations and one contained a negligible concentration of boron. Erythromycin contained appreciable concentrations of calcium, magnesium, and manganese.

Table 2. Mineral content of selected personal-care products normally ingested determined by ICAP¹ analysis after WALTTT² digestion (footnotes on following page)

product ³	no. of samples per batch ⁴	B	Ca	Cr	Cu	Fe	Mg	Mn	Mo	Zn
←————— $\mu\text{g/g}$ wet substance —————→										
analgesics and antipyretics										
acetaminophen tablets (325 mg) ⁵	2	≤0.015 ⁶	— ⁷	—	≤0.005	2.2 ⁸	4.00	—	—	≥0.025
analgesic (500 mg) ⁹	2	0.029	30.4	0.079	0.060	5.92	9.67	0.082	0.521	1.98
analgesic coated tablets ¹⁰	2	≤0.015	170	0.072	—	0.65	5.65	0.031	0.330	0.210
enteric-coated aspirin (325 mg) ¹¹	2	≤0.015	7.90	0.059	—	0.96	1.54	0.531	0.615	0.070
ibuprofen tablets (200 mg) ¹²	2	—	—	—	≤0.005	>49.8 ¹³	—	—	—	≤0.025
ibuprofen tablets (400 mg) ¹⁴	2	≤0.015	4,150	—	0.450	13.2	193	3.26	—	0.836
ibuprofen tablets (800 mg) ¹⁴	2	≤0.015	45.3	—	—	28.8	320	0.451	—	0.802
guaifenesin syrup (USP) ¹⁵	2	≤0.015	—	—	≤0.005	≤0.042	0.36	—	—	≤0.025
guaifenesin syrup (USP) ¹⁶	2	0.180	11.6	≤0.012	≤0.005	≤0.042	13.2	0.021	0.212	≤0.025
antibiotics										
amoxicillin ¹⁷	2	1.03	—	—	1.280	—	396	—	—	2.37
erythromycin (333 mg) ¹⁷	2	2.35	839	—	—	18.6	>1,250	2.01	—	—
penicillin V potassium (250 mg) ¹⁸	2	≤0.015	7.48	—	—	9.24	572	0.161	—	1.86
antiseptics										
hydrogen peroxide, 3% ¹⁷	2	≤0.015	—	—	0.056	≤0.042	0.025	—	—	≤0.025
oral antiseptic, cherry ¹⁹	2	—	49	—	0.195	—	25.3	0.034	0.274	0.045
throat lozenges ²⁰	2	0.355	23	—	0.190	—	18.4	0.064	—	0.074
decongestants and antihistamines										
allergy tablets (4 mg) ²¹	2	—	—	—	≤0.005	—	339	—	—	≤0.025
antihistamine tablets ²²	2	2.66	120	—	≤0.005	—	472	0.282	—	≤0.025
decongestant and expectorant ²³	2	—	4.20	—	≤0.005	—	0.250	—	2.20	134
effervescent cold medicine ²⁴	2	≤0.015	15	≤0.012	0.069	≤0.042	5.14	0.041	0.116	≤0.025
effervescent pain reliever and antacid ²⁵	2	≤0.015	15	≤0.012	≤0.005	—	5.20	0.053	—	≤0.025
head and chest liquid ²⁶	2	0.043	—	≤0.012	≤0.005	—	—	≤0.001	0.063	≤0.025
head and chest pill ²⁷	2	—	10.9	—	—	—	4.11	0.038	—	0.244
nasal decongestant/antihistamine ²⁸	2	1.09	36,300	—	1.710	38.9	>2,470	8.25	0.736	0.616
pseudoephedrine hydrochloride (30 mg) ²⁹	2	≤0.015	—	—	≤0.005	48.2	221	—	—	≤0.025
pseudoephedrine hydrochloride (60 mg) ²⁹	2	≤0.015	—	—	≤0.005	15.6	181	—	—	≤0.025
dental hygiene products										
denture adhesive ³⁰	3	≤0.015	810	—	0.350	0.305	9.68	0.049	—	0.079
denture adhesive ³¹	2	0.593	8,280	0.265	0.140	60.1	>1,000	26.3	—	2.36
denture cleaner ³²	3	184	68.3	—	3.88	—	>2,520	—	0.887	—
denture cleaner, mint ³²	2	40.5	0.85	—	—	1.06	—	31.6	—	—
denture cleanser ³³	2	4.08	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
effervescent denture cleaner ³⁴	3	3.85	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
toothpaste ³⁵	2	≤0.015	9.80	0.012	≤0.005	10.0	2.67	—	≤0.001	—
toothpaste ³⁶	2	0.151	5,940	—	—	7.1	61.3	0.443	≤0.020	0.268
toothpaste for dentures ³⁷	3	1.37	—	—	2.19	—	776	—	—	4.26
toothpaste for dentures ³⁸	3	0.316	—	—	0.310	—	16.2	—	—	—
estrogen supplement										
conjugated estrogens tablet ³⁹	2	0.812	—	—	0.130	—	270	—	—	2.23
gastric antacids										
antacid/anti-gas, double strength ⁴⁰	2	34.7	412	5.25	0.090	19.7	>908	2.67	7.63	1.99
stomach remedy ⁴¹	2	2.20	76.7	0.261	≤0.005	65.2	305	0.993	1.00	≤0.025
laxatives and stool softeners										
chocolate laxative ⁴²	2	4.43	—	—	4.46	221	1,090	—	—	7.34
docusate calcium (USP; 240 mg) ⁴³	2	0.964	8,300	—	0.264	—	94.6	0.116	0.267	1.24
docusate sodium (50-mg capsule) ⁴⁴	2	—	82.7	—	0.310	5.36	28.9	0.481	—	0.220
laxative ⁴⁵	2	0.323	7,820	—	—	—	747	0.674	≤0.020	≤0.025
milk of magnesia, plain (USP) ⁴⁶	1	52.0	275	0.481	0.110	19.5	>955	2.52	1.03	1.36
psyllium hydrophilic mucilloid ⁴⁷	1	2.37	—	—	0.160	55.2	157	—	—	1.80

Footnotes for Table 2. Mineral content of selected personal-care products normally ingested determined by ICAP¹ analysis after WALTTT² digestion

Key: B = boron; Ca = calcium; Cr = chromium; Cu = copper; Fe = iron; Mg = magnesium; Mn = manganese; Mo = molybdenum; Zn = zinc.

¹ICAP = inductively coupled argon plasma spectroscopy.

²WALTTT = wet-ash, low-temperature (<121°C), Teflon tube digestion procedure, with nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide as oxidizers.

³Products were assigned to arbitrary descriptive categories best describing their main characteristic.

⁴Number of samples digested and analyzed for elemental content; number varies according to the integrity of individual samples. One analyzed batch per product.

⁵Regular Strength Tylenol, McNeil Consumer Products Co, Ft Washington, Pa.

⁶Analyzed values below or equal to the working detection limit (WDL) calculated for a specific element are indicated by a \leq followed by WDL.

⁷Dash (—) indicates that a given substance was not analyzed for a specific element or there was insufficient statistical confidence to report the analyzed value.

⁸Mean of samples. Standard deviations are not included in the interest of conserving space, but can be obtained from the first author (CDH).

⁹Extra-Strength Datriol, Bristol-Meyers Co, New York, NY.

¹⁰Maximum Strength Anacin, Whitehall Laboratories Inc, New York, NY.

¹¹Ecotrin, SmithKline Beecham Consumer Brands, Philadelphia, Pa.

¹²Advil, Whitehall Laboratories Inc, New York, NY.

¹³Analyzed values above the working range for a given element are indicated by a > sign followed by the highest accurate analyzed value per a specific sample dilution. Samples were not rediluted because of time and expense constraints.

¹⁴Motrin, The Upjohn Co, Kalamazoo, Mich.

¹⁵Robitussin-CF, AH Robins Co, Consumer Products Div, Richmond, Va.

¹⁶Robitussin-DM, AH Robins Co, Consumer Products Division, Richmond, Va.

¹⁷Source unknown.

¹⁸V-Cillin K, Eli Lilly & Co, Indianapolis, Ind.

¹⁹Chloroseptic, The Procter & Gamble Co, Cincinnati, Ohio.

²⁰Cepacol, The Dow Chemical Co, Midland, Mich.

²¹Chlor-Trimeton, Schering-Plough Corp, Madison, NJ.

²²Seldane, The Dow Chemical Co, Midland, Mich.

²³Entex LA, Norwich Eaton Pharmaceuticals, Inc, Norwich, NY.

²⁴Alka-Seltzer Plus, Miles Inc, Elkhart, Ind.

²⁵Alka-Seltzer, Miles Inc, Elkhart, Ind.

²⁶Norwich Eaton Pharmaceuticals, Norwich, NY.

²⁷Norwich Eaton Pharmaceuticals, Norwich, NY.

²⁸Dimetapp Extentabs, AH Robins Co, Consumer Products Division, Richmond, Va.

²⁹Sudafed, Burroughs Wellcome Co, Research Triangle Park, NC.

³⁰Fixodent, Richardson Vicks, Inc, Personal Care Products Division, Shelton, Conn.

³¹Orafix, Norcliff-Thayer Inc, Tarrytown, NY; incomplete digestion.

³²Efferdent, Warner-Lambert Co, Morris Plains, NJ; incomplete digestion.

³³Advanced Formula Polident tablets, Block Drug Co, Jersey City, NJ.

³⁴Target Stores, Minneapolis, Minn.

³⁵Aim, Chesebrough-Pond's USA, Greenwich, Conn; incomplete digestion.

³⁶Peak, Colgate-Palmolive Co, New York, NY; incomplete digestion.

³⁷Dentu-Creme, Block Drug Co, Jersey City, NJ; incomplete digestion.

³⁸Dentu-Gel, Block Drug Co, Inc, Jersey City, NJ.

³⁹Premarin, Wyeth-Ayerst Laboratories, Inc, St Davids, Pa.

⁴⁰Mylanta-II (liquid), Stuart Pharmaceuticals, Wilmington, Del.

⁴¹Pepto-Bismol, The Procter & Gamble Co, Cincinnati, Ohio.

⁴²Ex-Lax Chocolate, Sandoz Consumer Pharmaceuticals Corp, East Hanover, NJ.

⁴³Surfak capsules, Hoechst-Roussel Pharmaceuticals, Somerville, NJ.

⁴⁴Colace, Bristol-Meyers Co, New York, NY.

⁴⁵Correctol, Consumer Operations of Plough, Inc, Memphis, Tenn.

⁴⁶Roxane Laboratories, Inc, Columbus, Ohio.

⁴⁷Metamucil (plain, powder), The Procter & Gamble Co, Cincinnati, Ohio.

Antiseptics. The antiseptics (Table 2) analyzed had low or negligible concentrations of boron and were relatively low in all other analyzed elements.

Decongestants and antihistamines. With the exception of three products, most analyzed decongestants and antihistamines (Table 2) also had low to negligible amounts of boron. One nasal decongestant/antihistamine (Dimetapp) was an important source of magnesium, manganese, chromium, and calcium.

Dental hygiene products. Several cleansing agents for dentures and teeth contained moderate to exceptionally high concentrations of boron (Table 2). Most dental hygiene products contained relatively low concentrations of most minerals; exceptions included products containing substantial concentrations of both calcium and magnesium or manganese.

Estrogen supplement. A commonly prescribed estrogen supplement contained notable concentrations of magnesium and a moderate concentration of boron (Table 2).

Gastric antacids. High and exceptionally high concentrations of boron were found in two gastric antacids (Table 2). One antacid contained the expected high concentrations of magnesium but also contained substantial amounts of molybdenum.

Laxatives and stool softeners. The concentration of boron in laxatives and stool softeners ranged from low to exceptionally high (Table 2). As with the gastric antacid preparations, several laxatives contained the expected high concentrations of magnesium.

Products for application to mucous membranes or lacerated skin

Lipsticks. Analyzed lipsticks generally contained high to very high concentrations of boron (Table 3). High concentrations of iron were found in red lipsticks. Several products contained substantial amounts of the ultratrace elements chromium, manganese, and molybdenum. Concentration of boron varied widely in other products normally applied to mucous membranes or lacerated skin.

Douches. The concentration of several minerals in the tested products intended for application to the mucous lining of the vagina was near the detection limit for those minerals (Table 3). Concentration of boron in those products was negligible; chromium concentrations approached 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ product.

Topical preparations. Concentration of boron in topical preparations applied to abraded or lacerated skin ranged from negligible to high levels (Table 3). The mineral content of the analyzed topical preparations was very low.

Products applied to cornified epithelium and hair

Liquid solutions and suspensions. Most liquids analyzed contained low amounts of boron (Table 4), although the two hair conditioners contained high to very high boron concentrations. The high zinc content of the anti-perspirant spray is noteworthy.

Lotions and creams. Certain skin cream preparations contained exceptionally high concentrations of boron (Table 4). Except for one lotion with high concentrations of iron and zinc and another with a high concentration of magnesium, most lotion preparations contained relatively low concentrations of the minerals analyzed.

Table 3. Mineral content of selected personal-care products normally applied to mucous membranes, mucocutaneous junctions, or lacerated skin determined by ICAP¹ analysis after WALTTT digestion.²

location of application/ product	no. of samples per batch ^{3,4}	B	Ca	Cr	Cu	Fe	Mg	Mn	Mo	Zn
←————— $\mu\text{g/g wet substance}$ —————→										
mucocutaneous junctions										
lip balm ⁵	2	0.231 ⁶	2.70	≤0.012 ⁷	≤0.005	0.758	0.795	0.101	— ⁸	≤0.025
lipstick ⁹	2	11.5	204	5.91	—	1,060	250	9.39	7.44	5.15
lipstick ¹⁰	2	1.57	169	1.69	—					2.55
						3,300				
lipstick ¹¹	2	1.56	898	1.17	—	1.28	2.88	0.933	2.10	—
lipstick ¹²	2	1.23	9.54	0.562	—	1,070	4.29	2.13	0.738	—
lipstick, cream rich ¹³	2	3.59	211	4.25	0.270	408	120	2.41	2.69	6.73
lipstick, natural ¹⁴	1	1.83	418	1.57	0.258	38.6	10.2	0.823	2.28	4.06
mucous membranes, mouth										
See Table 2, dental hygiene products										
mucous membranes, vagina										
douche ¹⁵	2	≤0.015	0.950	—	0.026	0.048	0.310	0.006	0.040	0.055
douche ¹⁶	2	≤0.015	0.750	—	0.093	0.081	0.190	0.015	0.015	0.052
skin, abraded or lacerated										
anesthetic pain relief spray ¹⁷	2	0.238	—	—	≤0.005	0.353	2.22	—	—	2.23
antipruritic ¹⁸	2	3.72	—	—	≤0.005	0.285	0.445	—	—	—
ointment ¹⁹	2	0.759	—	—	≤0.005	0.653	0.720	—	—	0.583
povidone-iodine, 10% ²⁰	2	≤0.015	—	—	≤0.005	≤0.042	0.290	—	—	<0.025

Key: B = boron; Ca = calcium; Cr = chromium; Cu = copper; Fe = iron; Mg = magnesium; Mn = manganese; Mo = molybdenum; and Zn = zinc.

¹ICAP = inductively coupled argon plasma spectroscopy.

²WALTTT = wet-ash, low-temperature (<121°C), Teflon tube digestion procedure, using nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide as oxidizers.

³Products were assigned to arbitrary descriptive categories best describing their main characteristic.

⁴Number of samples digested and analyzed for elemental content per batch; number varies according to the integrity of individual samples. One batch per product.

⁵Chap Stick, AH Robins Co, Consumer Products Division, Richmond, Va; incomplete digestion.

⁶Mean of samples. Standard deviations are not included in the interest of conserving space, but can be obtained from the first author (CDH).

⁷Analyzed values below or equal to the working detection limit (WDL) calculated for a specific element are indicated by a ≤ followed by WDL.

⁸Dash (—) indicates that a given substance was not analyzed for a specific element or there was insufficient statistical confidence to report the analyzed value.

⁹Satin Spun Rose (No. 081K), Luminesse, Cover Girl Cosmetics, Hunt Valley, Md; incomplete digestion.

¹⁰Shameless Rose (No. 752), Moon Drops, Revlon, Inc, New York, NY; incomplete digestion.

¹¹Classic Red Cream, Cutex, Chesebrough-Pond's USA, Greenwich, Conn; incomplete digestion.

¹²Rose Pink (No. 002K), Clarion, Clarion Cosmetics, Hunt Valley, Md; incomplete digestion.

¹³Infrared (No. D17), L'Oreal, Cosmair, Inc, New York, NY; incomplete digestion.

¹⁴Forever Red cream, Natural Wonder, Revlon, Inc, New York, NY; incomplete digestion.

¹⁵Summer's Eve, CB Fleet Co, Inc, Lynchburg, Va.

¹⁶Osco Drug Inc, Oak Brook, Ill.

¹⁷Dermoplast (spray), American Home Products Corp, New York, NY.

¹⁸Cortaid (1/2%), The Upjohn Co, Kalamazoo, Mich.

¹⁹A&D Ointment, Schering-Plough Corp, Madison, NJ.

²⁰Betadine, Purdue Frederick Company, Norwalk, Conn.

Oils, pastes, and powders. Most analyzed products assigned to the arbitrary categories of oils, pastes, and powders contained high to very high concentrations of boron (Table 4). The concentration of boron in a cosmetic cornstarch preparation (Table 4) was comparable to that in cooking cornstarch (Table 1); this suggests that boron is a contaminant of a flow agent in the cornstarch preparation. The high boron, iron, and magnesium content of one of the analyzed powders is noteworthy. Except for the obvious zinc content of the zinc oxide preparation, an appreciable amount of mineral apparently is not found in most analyzed products in the oil, paste, and powder categories.

Soaps. The boron content of analyzed soaps and shampoos fell into the very high or negligible categories

(Table 4). Shampoos apparently contain much more boron than do regular body soaps. In general, soaps contained relatively low concentrations of most minerals.

Discussion

The need to limit the intake of boron in human boron nutrition studies prompted the analysis of certain foodstuffs and personal-care products for boron concentration by a recently developed method (13). Analyzed foods were not selected randomly; individual foods were selected for elemental analysis on the basis of general nutritional efficacy (high mineral and/or vitamin:energy ratio), menu palatability, previous boron analysis by other laboratories, and/or the general knowledge of the unequal distribution of boron in food sources. We selected only

Table 4. Mineral content of selected personal-care products normally applied to cornified epithelium and hair, performed by ICAP¹ analysis after WALT² digestion²

product ³	no. of samples per batch ⁴	B	Ca	Cu	Fe	Mg	Mn	Zn
		←————— $\mu\text{g/g}$ wet substance —————→						
liquid solutions and suspensions								
acetone ⁵	2	≤0.015 ⁶	— ⁷	≤0.005	0.049 ⁸	0.013	—	≤0.025
alcohol, isopropyl ⁹	1	≤0.015	—	≤0.005	0.048	0.026	—	≤0.025
antiperspirant, spray ¹⁰	3	—	≤0.010	≤0.005	—	—	0.231	124
hair conditioner ¹¹	2	3.84	—	≤0.005	0.289	16.9	—	0.123
hair conditioner ¹²	2	10.8	—	—	—	12.8	—	0.101
insect repellent ¹³	2	≤0.015	—	≤0.005	≤0.042	≤0.0005	—	0.156
shaving gel, regular ¹⁴	2	0.275	—	≤0.005	0.429	0.275	—	—
shave preparation, regular ¹⁵	2	0.541	—	—	0.386	0.550	—	1.06
shave preparation, sensitive skin ¹⁵	2	≤0.015	81.3	≤0.005	—	—	≤0.001	≤0.025
witch hazel, astringent ¹⁶	2	0.218	—	2.13	—	0.922	—	1.81
lotions and creams								
antihistamine lotion ¹⁷	2	0.968	—	≤0.005	227	1.63	—	128
cream ¹⁸	2	3.56	—	≤0.005	≤0.042	15.8	—	—
cold cream ¹⁹	2	59.6	—	≤0.005	≤0.042	—	—	50.0
external analgesic rub ²⁰	2	≤0.015	4.50	≤0.005	—	1.08	0.011	≤0.025
lotion ²¹	2	46.7	—	≤0.005	—	0.370	—	0.165
lotion, pre-tan accelerator ²²	1	0.153	—	0.577	—	4.10	—	0.340
lotion, skin ²³	2	2.51	—	≤0.005	0.412	0.650	—	—
lotion, suntan ²⁴	1	0.352	—	0.375	—	711	—	0.165
lotion, skin, extra dry ²⁵	2	≤0.015	≤0.010	0.071	≤0.042	≤0.0005	≤0.001	≤0.025
lotion, tan accelerator ²⁴	2	0.136	—	≤0.005	—	1.23	—	0.137
oils								
oil, baby ²⁶	1	1.17	—	0.124	0.105	0.330	—	0.135
pastes								
deodorant, stick ²⁷	2	—	—	—	3.49	2.08	—	2.29
petrolatum ²⁸	2	0.667	—	≤0.005	—	0.250	—	0.158
zinc oxide ²⁸	2	11.1	—	≤0.005	0.595	—	—	>256
powders								
cornstarch ²⁹	1	0.438	—	0.219	4.56	78.0	—	0.599
powder, baby ²⁹	2	0.096	2,660	≤0.005	3.06	—	0.123	—
powder, body ³⁰	2	2.91	—	0.448	122	2,970	—	—
soaps								
shampoo ³¹	2	10	—	0.052	—	17.2	—	—
shampoo ³²	2	47.5	—	—	0.627	0.660	—	—
soap ³³	2	≤0.015	10.5	≤0.005	≤0.042	—	—	0.644
soap, bar, white ³⁴	2	≤0.015	2.50	≤0.005	≤0.042	0.900	≤0.001	—
soap, liquid, regular ³⁵	2	7.74	5.00	—	≤0.042	1.81	≤0.001	≤0.025
soap, liquid, ultra-rich ³⁵	2	≤0.015	5.25	0.075	≤0.042	1.23	0.020	≤0.025

Key: B = boron; Ca = calcium; Cu = copper; Fe = iron; Mg = magnesium; Mn = manganese; and Zn = zinc.

¹ICAP = inductively coupled argon plasma spectroscopy.

²WALT² = wet-ash, low-temperature (<121°C), Teflon tube digestion procedure, using nitric acid and hydrogen peroxide as oxidizers.

³Products were assigned to arbitrary descriptive categories best describing their main characteristic.

⁴Number of samples digested and analyzed for elemental content per batch; number varies according to the integrity of individual samples. One batch per product.

⁵Commercial source unknown.

⁶Analyzed values below or equal to the working detection limit (WDL) calculated for a specific element are indicated by a ≤ followed by the WDL.

⁷Dash (—) indicates that a given substance was not analyzed for a specific element or there was insufficient statistical confidence to report the analyzed value.

⁸Mean of samples. Standard deviations are not included in the interest of conserving space but can be obtained from the first author (CDH).

⁹Target Stores, Minneapolis, Minn.

¹⁰Secret, The Procter & Gamble Co, Cincinnati, Ohio.

¹¹Revlon, Inc, New York, NY.

¹²Silkience, The Gillette Co, Boston, Mass.

¹³Repel, Security Products Co of Delaware, Inc, Atlanta, Ga.

¹⁴Edge, SC Johnson & Son Inc, Racine, Wis.

¹⁵Foamy, The Gillette Co, Boston, Mass.

¹⁶Dickinson's Witch Hazel, EE Dickinson Co, Essex, Conn.

¹⁷Caladryl, Parke-Davis, Morris Plains, NJ.

¹⁸Elucerin, Beiersdorf, Inc, Norwalk, Conn.

¹⁹Pond's, Chesebrough-Pond's USA, Greenwich, Conn.

²⁰Thompson Medical Co, Inc, New York, NY

²¹Deep Magic (moisturizer), The Gillette Co, Boston, Mass.

²²Golden pre-tan, Estee Lauder Sun, Estee Lauder, Inc, New York, NY.

²³Jergens, The Andrew Jergens Co, Cincinnati, Ohio.

²⁴Coppertone Sun Screen (SPF 4), Plough, Inc, Memphis, Tenn.

²⁵Jergens for extra dry skin, The Andrew Jergens Co, Cincinnati, Ohio.

²⁶Baby Magic, The Mennen Co, Morristown, NJ.

²⁷Spice, Osco Drug Inc, Oak Brook, Ill.

²⁸Source unknown.

²⁹Johnson's, Johnson & Johnson Baby Products Co, Skillman, NJ.

³⁰Shower to Shower, Johnson & Johnson, New Brunswick, NJ.

³¹Ivory, The Procter & Gamble Co, Cincinnati, Ohio.

³²Silkience, The Gillette Co, Boston, Mass.

³³Sayman Cleansing Bar, EE Dickinson Co, Essex, Conn.

³⁴Dial, The Dial Corp, Phoenix, Ariz.

³⁵Softsoap, Colgate-Palmolive Co, New York, NY.

food products, except for various fruit products, thought to be low in boron.

Elemental boron is required by higher plants (12) and is typically more concentrated in plant tissues (7,12) than animal tissues (5,10,11). Furthermore, boron is unequally distributed within Angiospermae, the class of plants most often used in human and animal diets. For example, most species within the subclass Dicotyledoneae, which includes fruits, vegetables, tubers, and legumes, have much larger concentrations of boron than do species in the subclass Monocotyledoneae, which includes grasses (eg, corn, rice, wheat) (21). Our analyses of various foods generally confirmed those observations. Animal products, cereal grain products, and confections were found to contain negligible (<0.015) to low (0.100 to 0.500 μg boron per g wet food) concentrations of boron. The high concentration of boron in grape jelly probably reflects the grape content; grapes are a member of the subclass Dicotyledoneae. The concentration of boron in spaghetti (made from wheat flour and water) was higher than that in either wheat flour or egg noodles; this finding demonstrates the need to consider the source of the foodstuff when assessing boron intake. Concentration of boron in plants varies with soil type, length of exposure, rate of transpiration, and different agricultural practices (12). Most food products comprising mainly fruits or the seeds, stalks, or bark of dicotyledonous plants contained high (1.00 to 4.00 μg boron per g) to very high (4.00 to 30.00 μg boron per g) concentrations of boron as expected; citrus fruit, berry, and pineapple products were notable exceptions.

Attempts to calculate usual boron intake should take into account the following points:

- *Inaccurate reporting because of analytic insensitivity.* Analytic problems associated with determining concentration of boron in biological samples and personal-care products were summarized recently (13) and include boron volatilization and artifactual contamination during sample digestion. Thus, the results of several earlier boron analyses (22) may be in error because borosilicate glass beakers were often used for charring the samples in muffle furnaces and char temperatures far exceeded those at which many boron compounds volatilize.
- *Incomplete survey of foodstuffs commonly ingested.* A limited number of recent studies analyzed boron in foodstuffs that comprise the majority of the American diet. For example, the foods listed in this article obviously represent only a small portion of the American diet. The most complete data accumulated on concentration of boron in representative foods were reported by Varo et al (5-9) and Nuurtamo et al (10,11), but the foods analyzed represent those available to the Finnish population.
- *Regional and personal differences in boron intake.* Apparently, concentration of boron in water may vary substantially between any two geographic locations and/or sources. The water analyses reported in this article or by Bradford (23) indicate that the mean concentration of boron in water samples collected from different regions in California varies greatly. Examples showing the variance of boron ($\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$) include Calistoga deep well water, 0.04; Suisun Bay-lower San Joaquin River water samples, 0.65; San Joaquin Valley well water,

0.71; spring and well waters surrounding the Salton Sea, 2.60; various water samples from Casa Diablo including hot and cold springs, lake waters, and streams from area of volcanic activity, 8.41. A 14- to 16-year-old girl consuming drinking water, carbonated sweetened beverages, sweetened beverage from powder mix, coffee, tea, and beer in the quantities determined by Pennington (24), would consume the following estimated amounts of boron, assuming that the sole source of water was one of the aforementioned locations: 30, 530, 580, 2,120, or 6,860 $\mu\text{g}/\text{day}$, respectively. Using the same criteria, a 60- to 65-year-old woman would consume an estimated 50, 810, 880, 3,200, or 10,400 μg boron per day, respectively. The US Department of the Interior has established an upper limit for boron in public water supplies of 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ (23), a level that would provide approximately 800 μg boron per day to a teenage girl or 1,250 μg to a postmenopausal woman.

The findings from various boron analyses presented in this article and elsewhere (5-11) indicate that food selection notably affects an individual's usual boron intake. Also, attempts to determine usual boron intake from foodstuffs are imprecise at best because of the aforementioned reasons. Using the Total Diet Study food list compiled by Pennington (24) and boron analysis values reported in our article and elsewhere, the boron contribution of most of the 200 most common foods can be roughly approximated; the daily intake of dietary boron of a teenage girl or a postmenopausal woman can be calculated at approximately 300 or 600 μg , respectively.

Personal-care products

Analytic findings from our study show that boron is a notable contaminant or major ingredient of many nonfood personal-care products. The high concentration of boron in certain products may be the result of artifactual contamination or purposeful supplementation. Boron is a natural contaminant of several inorganic magnesium compounds (Hunt CD, Shuler TR. Unpublished data, April 20, 1983) and is often used in the manufacture of soap (25). Therefore, it seems important to limit, or at least to take into account, environmental sources of boron when designing human studies dealing with mineral metabolism because previous findings indicate that physiologic levels of boron affect mineral metabolism in human beings (2,3) and chicks (1). Decisions regarding the use or exclusion of a specific personal-care product during the course of a human nutrition assessment study should take into account the following points:

- *Active ingredient:filler ratio.* It is not appropriate to assume that the active ingredient:filler (and excipient) ratio will remain constant between different dosages of the same product even though the product is obtained under the same brand name and from the same manufacturer. For example, 400- and 800-mg ibuprofen tablets supplied by the same manufacturer, contained substantially different amounts of calcium, magnesium, and manganese, which are apparently unrelated to the amount of active ingredient (Table 2).
- *Product source.* Concentration of minerals in products containing the same active ingredient, but supplied by different sources, may vary substantially. For example, two products with the active ingredient docusate so-

dium differed greatly in their respective concentrations of copper, iron, magnesium, and zinc (Table 2). Thus, the complete product, not the active ingredient, is the major factor in establishing whether the product should be consumed during a nutrition assessment study.

- *Batch-to-batch variation.* Trace mineral concentrations may vary greatly between batches of personal-care products because trace minerals are often present as contaminants and not as the active ingredient of the product. An obvious exception is magnesium in milk of magnesia. Thus, analytic values of minerals in personal-care products presented in our article or elsewhere should serve only as a general indication of mineral content; each product should be analyzed for mineral content before use in a nutrition assessment study. However, it seems reasonable to eliminate use of specific products when previous analyses indicate that it would increase by one or more orders of magnitude total intake of a mineral under study.
- *Total dosage: Insignificant contribution.* The total amount of any element introduced into general metabolism from the use of a product may be relatively small even though the concentration of the element in the product may be very high. For example, a 333-mg tablet of erythromycin has a high concentration of boron (2.353 $\mu\text{g/g}$) but the total boron dosage per day (three tablets) from that source is inconsequential (0.0038 mg boron). Boron, as sodium borate or boric acid, is often employed as a bleaching agent, which may explain the exceptionally high amounts of boron in several denture cleaners. However, if used according to manufacturers' instructions, none of the dental hygiene products analyzed would contribute notably to overall boron intake.
- *Total dosage: Inordinate contribution.* Certain products, even when used in small amounts, may introduce an inordinately large amount of the element under study into general metabolism by virtue of either the mineral content of an effective dosage or mechanism of absorption. For example, it seems reasonable to prohibit the use of milk of magnesia or red lipstick in studies dealing with magnesium or iron deficiency, respectively. Likewise, one nasal decongestant/antihistamine (Dime-tapp), taken in normal fashion, would contribute notably to total magnesium, manganese, chromium, and calcium intake, satisfying 1.2%, 0.8%, 5.0%, and 11% of the Recommended Dietary Allowances (26) or estimated safe and adequate daily dietary intake of those elements, respectively. In addition, the rate of absorption of many elements is often greater across mucous membranes and lacerated epithelia than across cornified epithelia. For example, boric acid solutions are well absorbed through denuded or abraded skin but absorption through intact skin is negligible (4). Finally, products that form aerosols easily (ie, powders and sprays), and are thus easily inhaled, should be analyzed for trace element content.

Recommendation

A diet supplemented with boron in amounts equivalent to that found in diets comprising mainly fruits and vegetables (3 mg/day) is sufficient to affect many aspects of human mineral metabolism. Various indicators of

mineral metabolism affected by physiologic intakes of dietary boron include serum concentrations of calcitonin, 25-hydroxycholecalciferol, magnesium, phosphorus, estradiol-17 β , and ionized calcium. Although indirect evidence suggests that dietary boron helps maintain bone mass in older people, research is necessary to determine under what conditions dietary boron is beneficial to human beings. In the meantime, it is important that the dietitian assess the patient's boron nutrition, especially in individuals with abnormally low or high serum concentrations of mineral metabolism indicators.

References

- (1) Hunt CD. Dietary boron modified the effects of magnesium and molybdenum on mineral metabolism in the cholecalciferol deficient chick. *Biol Trace Element Res.* 1989; 22:201-220.
- (2) Nielsen FH, Mullen LM, Gallagher SK. Effect of boron depletion and repletion on blood indicators of calcium status in humans fed a magnesium-low diet. *J Trace Elements Exp Med.* 1990; 3:45-54.
- (3) Nielsen FH, Hunt CD, Mullen LM, Hunt JR. Effect of dietary boron on mineral, estrogen, and testosterone metabolism in postmenopausal women. *FASEB J.* 1987; 1:394-397.
- (4) Siegel E, Wason S. Boric acid toxicity. *Pediatr Clin North Am.* 1986; 33:363-367.
- (5) Varo P, Nuurtamo M, Saari E, Koivistoinen P. Mineral element composition of Finnish foods. VIII. Dairy products, eggs and margarine. *Acta Agriculturae Scand.* 1980; 22(suppl):115-126.
- (6) Varo P, Nuurtamo M, Saari E, Koivistoinen P. Mineral element composition of Finnish foods. IX. Beverages, confectionaries, sugar and condiments. *Acta Agriculturae Scand.* 1980; 22(suppl):127-139.
- (7) Varo P, Lehelma O, Nuurtamo M, Saari E, Koivistoinen P. Mineral element composition of Finnish foods. VII. Potato, vegetable, fruits, berries, nuts and mushrooms. *Acta Agriculturae Scand.* 1980; 22(suppl):89-113.
- (8) Varo P, Nuurtamo M, Saari E, Koivistoinen P. Mineral element composition of Finnish foods. IV. Flours and bakery products. *Acta Agriculturae Scand.* 1989; 22(suppl):38-55.
- (9) Varo P, Nuurtamo M, Saari E, Rasanen L, Koivistoinen P. Mineral element composition of Finnish foods. XI. Comparison of analytical and computed compositions in some simulated total diets. *Acta Agriculturae Scand.* 1980; 22(suppl):161-164.
- (10) Nuurtamo M, Varo P, Saari E, Koivistoinen P. Mineral element composition of Finnish foods. VI. Fish and fish products. *Acta Agriculturae Scand.* 1980; 22(suppl):77-87.
- (11) Nuurtamo M, Varo P, Saari E, Koivistoinen P. Mineral element composition of Finnish foods. V. Meat and meat products. *Acta Agriculturae Scand.* 1980; 22(suppl):57-76.
- (12) Lovatt CJ, Dugger WM. Boron. In: Frieden E, ed. *Biochemistry of the Essential Ultratrace Elements.* Vol 3. New York, NY: Plenum Press; 1984.
- (13) Hunt CD, Shuler TR. Open vessel, wet-ash, low-temperature digestion of biological materials for inductively-coupled argon plasma spectroscopy (ICAP) analysis of boron and other elements. *J Micronutrient Anal.* 1990; 6:161-174.
- (14) Zarcinas BA, Cartwright B, Spoucer LR. Nitric acid digestion and multi-element analysis of plant material by inductively coupled plasma spectrometry. *Common Soil Sci Plant Anal.* 1987; 18:131-146.
- (15) *Composition of Foods.* Hyattsville, Md: US Department of Agriculture; 1976-1987. Agriculture Handbook No. 8.
- (16) *Provisional Table on the Nutrient Content of Bakery Foods and Related Items.* Hyattsville, Md: US Department of Agriculture; 1981.
- (17) Consumer Nutrition Center Data Set, 456-3. Hyattsville, Md: US Department of Agriculture; 1977.
- (18) Greger JL, Marhefka S, Geissler AH. Magnesium content of selected foods. *J Food Sci.* 1978; 43:1610-1612.
- (19) Murphy EW, Willis BW, Watt BK. Provisional tables on the zinc content of foods. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 1975; 66:345-355.
- (20) Freeland JH, Cousins RJ. Zinc content of selected foods. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 1976; 68:527-529.
- (21) Ploquin J. Boron in foods. *Bull Soc Sci Hyg Aliment.* 1967; 55:70-113.
- (22) Hopkins H, Eisen J. Mineral elements in fresh vegetables from different geographic areas. *Agric Food Chem.* 1959; 7:633-638.
- (23) Bradford GR. Trace elements in the water resources of California. *Hilgardia* 1971; 41:45-53.
- (24) Pennington JAT. Revision of the Total Diet Study food list and diets. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 1983; 82:166-173.
- (25) Subcommittee on Mineral Toxicity in Animals. *Mineral Tolerance of Domestic Animals.* Washington, DC: National Academy of Sciences; 1980:71-83.
- (26) Food and Nutrition Board. *Recommended Dietary Allowances.* 10th ed. Washington, DC: National Academy of Sciences; 1989.